

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
DEIULEMAR SHIPPING SPA,

Plaintiff,

- against -

SOURCE LINK SHIPPING CO. LTD.,

Defendant.  
-----X

07 CV. 2983 (SAS)  
ECF CASE

**AMENDED EX PARTE  
ORDER FOR PROCESS OF  
MARITIME ATTACHMENT**

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: *7.10.07*

**WHEREAS**, on July 9, 2007 Plaintiff, DEIULEMAR SHIPPING SPA, filed a Verified Second Amended Complaint herein for damages amounting to **\$14,864,721.00** inclusive of interest, costs and reasonable attorney's fees, and praying for the issuance of Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Admiralty Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules and Civil Procedure; and

**WHEREAS**, the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment would command that the United States Marshal or other designated process server attach any and all of the Defendants' property within the District of this Court; and

**WHEREAS**, the Court has reviewed the Verified Second Amended Complaint and the Supporting Affidavit, and the conditions of Supplemental Admiralty Rule B appearing to exist, is hereby

**ORDERED**, that Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment shall issue against all tangible or intangible property belonging to, claimed by or being held for the Defendants by any garnishees within this District, including but not limited to, ABN Amro, American Express Bank, Bank of America, Bank of New York, Citibank, Deutsche Bank, HSBC (USA) Bank, JP Morgan Chase, Standard Chartered Bank, and/or Wachovia Bank, in an amount up to and

CERTIFIED COPY  
J. MICHAEL McMAHON, CLERK  
BY *C. P. Davis* DEPUTY CLERK

including \$14,864,721.00, pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and it is further

**ORDERED** that any person claiming an interest in the property attached or garnished pursuant to said order shall, upon application to the Court, be entitled to a prompt hearing at which the Plaintiff shall be required to show cause why the attachment and garnishment should not be vacated or other relief granted; and it is further

**ORDERED** that supplemental process enforcing the Court's Order may be issued by the Clerk upon application without further Order of the Court; and it is further

**ORDERED** that following initial service by the United States Marshal or other designated process server upon each garnishee, that supplemental service of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment, as well as this Order, may be made by way of facsimile transmission or other verifiable electronic means, including e-mail, to each garnishee; and it is further

**ORDERED** that service on any garnishee as described above is deemed effective continuous service throughout the day from the time of such service through the opening of the garnishee's business the next business day; and it is further

**ORDERED** that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2)(D) each garnishee may consent, in writing, to accept service by any other means; and it is further

**ORDERED** that a copy of this Order be attached to and served with said Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment.

Dated: July 9, 2007

**SO ORDERED:**

  
U. S. D. J.